A 1200 year record of accumulation from northern Greenland
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ABSTRACT. We present the first detailed study of regional and secular changes in accumulation rate from northern Greenland. Four 100–150 m ice cores from this previously little investigated region have been dielectrically profiled and a good chronology for all four ice cores established by modelling the density profiles and identifying volcanic peaks in the records. This made it possible to calculate the accumulation rates of each core. The current accumulation rates show that there is a large region of low accumulation rate to the northeast of central Greenland with drops in accumulation rate of 25%–150 km, and 50%–300 km from Summit.

Relatively large variations in accumulation rate over time are seen in all the cores. We have compared the resulting accumulation-rate record, which should be related to changes in local air temperature over northern Greenland, with Scandinavian tree-ring records and have interpreted the data as showing an early Medieval Warm Epoch, but no pronounced “Little Ice Age” and no unequivocal greenhouse warming effect as yet in northern Greenland.

1. INTRODUCTION

In summer 1993 four ice cores were drilled on a traverse from Summit to Northice, Greenland. Two 100 m cores were drilled, at 73°56.4'N, 37°37.8'W and 75°13.0'N, 37°37.4'W at elevations of approximately 3080 and 2900 m. Further north, two 150 m cores were drilled, at 76°37.1'N, 36°24.2'W and 78°00.0'N, 36°23.9'W at elevations of approximately 2600 and 2340 m (Fig. 1). The drill sites are located east of the central Greenland ice divide in areas where climatic data are very sparse and limited. The best data on the accumulation rate in the area were obtained in the 1950s by snow stratigraphy spanning 76 years (Hamilton and others, 1956) at Northice (78°04'N, 38°29'W, elevation 2345 m), 10 km west of the core B19 drilling site. Estimates of accumulation rate in the areas of cores B16, B17 and B18 come from Koch and Wegener (1930) and are based simply on the estimate of a single year’s precipitation in the early 20th century.

All four cores were dielectrically profiled in Greenland. The DEP method, previously described by Moore (1993), was slightly modified for our measurements, allowing whole cores rather than longitudinally cut ones to be profiled. This was accomplished simply by having both electrodes curved to match the core curvature. The electrode width chosen was 2 cm, and measurements were made of the 50 kHz conductance at 2–3 mm resolution along 0.5 m sections of core. The 2 cm width of electrode was expected to provide seasonal resolution for the cores. The DEP profiling was done continuously along the cores, but due to drilling commitments the top 10–15 m of each core were usually missed. Further analyses (chemistry, stable isotopes, physical properties) are under way on the core and will be discussed in future papers.

2. DATING

A preliminary estimate of the accumulation rate can be made from the core depth–density profiles (Herron and Langway, 1980). The density profile of the cores was
calculated from weight and volume measurements on sections 0.1–0.3 m in length. The density data were modelled using the empirical firm-densification model of Herron and Langway (1980). The density of solid ice was set at \( \rho_i = 0.917 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \), and if \( \rho \) is the density of firm, the model predicts that plots of \( \ln(\rho/(\rho_i - \rho)) \) vs. depth will consist of straight-line segments. The first segment is for \( \rho > 0.55 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \) and the second segment has a shallower slope for \( 0.55 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} < \rho < 0.82 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \), corresponding to the first and second stages of densification, respectively. Pore close-off occurs at \( \rho = 0.82 \) to \( 0.84 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \), below which densification occurs more slowly. The slope of the second segment gives the accumulation rate. The best fit of the model to the data was found with the second stage of densification starting at about 16 m depth, giving an accumulation rate of 0.15 to 0.1 m year\(^{-1}\), depending on the core. A depth–age relationship was then calculated to establish an approximate chronology for the core.

A more detailed and accurate chronology can be obtained from the depths of dated stratigraphic horizons. One commonly used technique is to identify the snow layers containing the acid deposition from historically known volcanic eruptions (Hammer, 1980; Hammer and others, 1980; Moore and others, 1991). The DEP conductivity largely depends on the acid concentration in the ice (Moore and others, 1992). Numerous sharp peaks in the DEP profile, representing volcanic eruptions, were identified in all the cores. At depths of 49.3 m (core B16), 43.2 m (B17), 40.2 m (B18) and 37.3 m (B19), large peaks were seen, which were identified as the signal from the eruption of Laki (Iceland) in 1783 (Fig. 2a) based on the calculated chronology from the densification profile and the known characteristics of the signal from many other ice cores in Greenland (e.g., Clausen and Hammer, 1988). We are very confident in our identification of the peak because it was seen in all four cores, was the largest signal in the top 100 m (Fig. 3) and was within 5 m of the depth expected from the densification rate; furthermore, cycles in the DEP conductivity were often observed which correspond closely with the predicted annual layer thickness. Average accumulation rates for the cores from the surface to the Laki horizon were calculated, and the whole length of the ice cores was dated using these accumulation rates.

Important volcanic eruptions since 1500 AD have been
listed in the dust-veil index (DVI) of Lamb (1970) and
the volcanic explosivity index (VEI) of Newhall and Self
(1982). Volcanic-acid signals have been dated in ice cores
from central Greenland: Crête (Hammer and others,
1980), the combined GRIP and Eurocore records
(Clausen and others, in press) and other Greenland
cores (Hammer, 1984; Clausen and Hammer, 1988).
Using the preliminary chronology of the cores, 20 peaks
were found in the DEP profile that could be identified
with known or previously dated volcanic eruptions
(Table 1). At depths of 28 m (core B16), 25 m (B17),
23.4 m (B18) and 24.95 m (B19), a melt layer was found.
It has been identified previously in many central
Greenland ice cores and dated to the year 1889 by
Clausen and others (1988). All four cores contain signals
going back to about 1500; only the 150 m long cores B18
and B19 show peaks from eruptions earlier than 1479
(Mount St. Helens). Figure 3 shows the DEP peak
amplitudes for the signals in the four cores after correction
of the DEP conductivity for the effects of density (Moore
and others, 1991). Two fairly prominent peaks were seen
in addition to those observed in other Greenland cores:
these are peaks 11 and 18, which we dated from the Laki
horizon and the densification model at about 1290 and
990, respectively. In contrast with ice cores from Summit
(Clausen and others, in press) no obvious signal was seen
around the year 1810 in any of the four cores; but Table 1
and Figure 3 show that a volcanic peak often shows up
weakly or not at all in a core, presumably as a result of
differences in acid fall-out on both scales typical of surface
sastrugi and longer distances characterizing individual
precipitation events.
Fig. 3. Relative peak height of DEP signals in the four cores corrected for core density using the Loyenga model of dielectric mixtures (Moore and others, 1991, equation 3). Peak heights are relative to the Laki eruption of 1783, which is set to 100%.

Table 1. List of identified reference horizons and calculated accumulation rates in the four cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcanic peak No.</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Depth B16</th>
<th>Depth B17</th>
<th>Depth B18</th>
<th>Depth B19</th>
<th>Accumulation rate B16</th>
<th>Accumulation rate B17</th>
<th>Accumulation rate B18</th>
<th>Accumulation rate B19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m year⁻¹</td>
<td>m year⁻¹</td>
<td>m year⁻¹</td>
<td>m year⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Hekla</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>Melt layer</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>Tambora</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.95</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Laki</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1783</td>
<td>Tarumai</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1759</td>
<td>Hekla</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1693</td>
<td>Melt layer</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1665</td>
<td>Melt layer</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Komagatake</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1601</td>
<td>Huaynaputina</td>
<td>81.05</td>
<td>71.77</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1514</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1479</td>
<td>Mount St. Helens</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1290</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1259</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1196</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1179</td>
<td>Katla</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1168</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1104</td>
<td>Hekla l</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>934</td>
<td>Eldgja</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
<td>134.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>898</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spatial variation of accumulation

Ice thickness in the region of the cores varies between 2900 and 2400 m (Radok and others, 1982). Since the cores were drilled either at the ice divide (core B16) or in areas with low surface gradients where horizontal velocities are about 10 m year\(^{-1}\) (Radok and others, 1982), only minimal ice flow occurs. No correction for an upstream accumulation gradient was needed, since the area the snow originated from is only a few kilometres from the drill sites. The ice cores penetrated about 5% of the ice thickness, and to good approximation differential thinning of layers with depth can be neglected. Therefore, a good estimated average accumulation rate for the period between two dated volcanic-reference horizons can be calculated from the (density-corrected) core length separating them (Table 1).

Core B16 showed a mean accumulation rate of 0.14 ± 0.014 m year\(^{-1}\), core B17 a rate of 0.117 ± 0.016 m year\(^{-1}\), core B18 a rate of 0.099 ± 0.012 m year\(^{-1}\), and core B19 a rate of 0.0925 ± 0.0145 m year\(^{-1}\). As expected from maps of accumulation rate of the area compiled from earlier sparse measurements (Ohmura and Reeh, 1991), our data indicate a significant decrease in accumulation from south to north. They also suggest a much larger area of low accumulation rate in the region to the northeast of Summit (Fig. 4) than given by Ohmura and Reeh (1991). The data used in this region by Ohmura and Reeh (1991) were from the rather limited measurements of Koch and Wegener (1930), who estimated precipitation rates at points along a traverse across northern Greenland from the depth of the surface soft-snow layer. Their working hypothesis was that this soft-snow layer represented snowfall since the previous summer, but they acknowledged that this assumption, and consequently their precipitation-rate figures, might be incorrect. The best data in the whole region come from the pit study made by H. Lister 40 km west of drill site B19, of 76 years of accumulation spanning 1877-1953 at Northice (Hamilton and others, 1956). This found values between 0.05 and 0.14 m year\(^{-1}\) which are in good agreement with our data for core B19. We therefore propose a modified accumulation map for northeast Greenland (Fig. 4). As described by Ohmura and Reeh (1991), northeast Greenland precipitation patterns are dominated by Atlantic influences. Storm tracks come from the south or southwest of Greenland and Iceland governed by the Icelandic low and are mostly rained out along the southwest coast or the Summit area before reaching the northeast. The remote setting of northeast Greenland, which is blocked off to the west by the central Greenland ice divide and to the south by the Summit area from moisture sources and major storm-track lines, causes a large area to have a very low accumulation rate. The area descending northwards from the Summit plateau shows an especially rapidly declining accumulation rate. In contrast to the Summit area whose moisture sources are the North Atlantic mid-latitudes (Johsen and others, 1989; Fisher, 1990), it is likely that the more northerly sites, especially cores B18 and B19, receive more moisture from local sources in the Greenland Sea, Arctic Ocean and Canadian wetlands.

Fig. 4. Revised accumulation map for Greenland, showing significant differences from the Ohmura and Reeh (1991) map in the northeast of Greenland. Light accumulation-rate contours from Ohmura and Reeh (1991, fig. 6) are in good agreement with our data presented here.

Temporal variations

Precipitation and accumulation rates are related to the water-vapour content in the air above the surface inversion layer and thus to its temperature (e.g. Robin, 1977). Clausen and others (1988) found a good correlation between stable oxygen-isotope ratios and accumulation rates in ice cores from central Greenland, supporting a link between accumulation rate and local air temperature. We present the accumulation-rate records in Figures 5 and 6. The average accumulation rates are calculated at uneven intervals because of the random spacing of our volcanic horizons in time through the core records. Therefore, we are not able to show the actual onset of, for example, a drier trend; all we can say is that a change occurred at some time between our time markers, which are on average separated by 50 years. This has the general effect of reducing variations in accumulation rate.

20th-century accumulation appears little different from the average for the cores, except for core B19 which records
Fig. 5. Accumulation-rate records for cores B18 and B19, 700–1993 AD. The Fennoscandian tree-ring record of Briffa and others (1990) is plotted for comparison.

We have sought to correlate our record with proxy climate data from other high-latitude areas. One such proxy is tree-ring growth, which is related to summer temperatures. The comparison of our data with tree-ring records from northern Fennoscandia (Briffa and others, 1990) (Fig. 5) shows some similarities but also differences. From 900 to 1100 we find a pronounced wet period, represented by the highest accumulation rates in the

Fig. 6. Accumulation rate records of cores B16, B17, B18 and B19 for the years 1400–1993 AD. A fifth-order polynomial fit to all four records over this period is superimposed on the record; the earlier part of this curve showing a steep decline is unrealistically affected by the low values in cores B18 and B19 prior to 1500 AD.
whole record for cores B18 and B19, somewhat similar to the tree-ring record, which might be comparable to the Medieval Warm Epoch in central Europe. This period of prolonged wet and warmth started and ended earlier and was shorter in northern Greenland than in central Europe, where it lasted from about 1000 to 1300.

The “Little Ice Age” does not show up clearly in our records, whereas it might have been expected to produce dry conditions, and was also absent from the Fennoscandian tree-ring data. There are minima, periods of low accumulation in the ice cores, but no uniform dry period or general trend is detectable during the period 1200-1900, which is referred to as the maximum time span of the “Little Ice Age” in central Europe. No simple signature of global warming is to be found in our ice cores, which would manifest itself as an increase in accumulation rate over the last 100 years or so, except in core B19, where a dramatic increase occurs.

4. CONCLUSION

The large region of low accumulation to the northeast of Summit in Greenland investigated here presents an apparently attractive site for drilling to bedrock in the future. The low accumulation rates mean that ice from the last Interglacial (Eemian) should be nearer the surface and consequently less likely to have suffered the flow disturbances that may have occurred at Summit (Taylor and others, 1993). However, the region is in a different climatic regime from central Greenland and appears to receive moisture from various, more or less localized, source areas. The large variation in accumulation rates seen over the last 1200 years, during what at Summit appears to be a remarkably stable period of climatic history, suggests that interpretation of regional and global climate from an ice core in the region will not be straightforward.
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